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## INDUSTRY INCLUSION INSIGHTS • 2023 AWEI SURVEY

## Higher Education

## General data

| All data (All) |  | Higher Education (H/E) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1 9 2}$ |  |  | Organisations | 12 |
| $\mathbf{4 0 , 3 3 1}$ | Respondents | 2,304 | $5.3 \%$ |  |
| $\mathbf{1 0 , 0 8 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 . 0} \%$ | LGBTQ+ respondents in the sector | 798 | $34.6 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{9 , 9 7 2}$ | $24.7 \%$ | \% respondents of Diverse sexuality | 790 | $34.3 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{5 , 2 2 0}$ | $52.3 \%$ | \% Diverse Sexuality respondents 'Out' | 435 | $55.1 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 , 1 1 9}$ | $2.8 \%$ | \% respondents of Diverse Gender | 137 | $5.9 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{4 6 4}$ | $\mathbf{4 1 . 5 \%}$ | \% Diverse Gender respondents 'open' | 65 | $47.4 \%$ |

## Location

Respondents in this sector span all states and territories other than Tasmania. A greater proportion of responses have been received from the NT (All: $0.4 \%$ vs H/E: $1.2 \%$ ) and QLD, (All response $17.1 \%$ vs H/E: 36.0\%). $34.9 \%$ of respondents are from NSW. 10.6\% more Higher Education sector respondents work in regional, rural or remote locations (All: $15.24 \%$ vs $\mathrm{H} / \mathrm{E}$ : 6.8\%)

## Organisation position

Respondents are:

- 18.0\% less likely to be in Full time paid roles (All: 83.6\% vs H/E: 68.5\%),
- $188.5 \%$ more likely to be in contract positions (All: $4.1 \%$ vs H/E: 11.9\%), \&
- $176.1 \%$ more likely to be in temporary/casual roles (All: 2.5\% vs H/E: 7.0\%).
- $19 \%$ more likely to have been employed for between 3-10 years. (All: 29.9\% vs H/E: 35.6\%)
- $14 \%$ less likely to have been employed under 3 years, (All: 41.1\% vs H/E: 35.4\%).


## Personal Beliefs

Higher education sector respondents are more likely by:
$\checkmark \quad 19.1 \%$ to believe there are more than two genders (All: 62.6\% vs H/E: 74.5\%).
$\checkmark \quad 17.0 \%$ to believe their organisation should put more effort into this aspect of diversity \& inclusion (All: $45.7 \%$ vs H/E: 53.4\%).

## Awareness and visibility

Within the last year:
x 7.7\% fewer respondents agree there has been communication of initiatives surrounding LGBTQ+ inclusion (All: 80.3\% vs H/E: 74.1.0\%),
$\checkmark \quad 10.1 \%$ more respondents are aware of training being available (All: $68.0 \%$ vs $\mathrm{H} / \mathrm{E}$ : 74.8\%),
x $10.3 \%$ fewer respondents attended at training (All: $47.4 \%$ vs H/E: 42.5\%).

## Bullying and Harassment

Higher Education Sector respondents are less likely by:
x $15.5 \%$ to feel any negative commentary/jokes/innuendo targeting people of diverse sexuality and/or gender are acted upon quickly (All: 59.1\% vs H/E: 50.0\%),
x $13.4 \%$ to feel managers are willing to address workplace incivility (negative commentary,
jokes and/or innuendo) targeting people of diverse sexuality (All: 66.0\% vs H/E: 57.1\%),
$\times \quad 14.1 \%$ to feel managers address workplace incivility behaviours towards people of diverse genders (All respondents: 65.1\% vs H/E: 56.0\%),
$\times \quad 9.4 \%$ to agree there are identified avenues to safely report bullying and harassment related to sexuality and/or gender (All: 75.9\% vs H/E: 68.7\%)

They are also:
x $8.4 \%$ more likely to have witnessed workplace incivility (All: $14.8 \%$ vs H/E: 16.1\%), \&
$\checkmark \quad 6.4 \%$ less likely to have witnessed serious bullying behaviours (All: $4.6 \%$ vs H/E: 4.3\%)
x $10.6 \%$ more likely to say that no one called out workplace incivility (All: $28.6 \%$ vs H/E: 31.6\%), and
x $34.7 \%$ more likely to advise serious behaviours were not called out by anyone (All: $21.3 \%$ vs H/E: 28.7\%).

## Working with others

Comfortable levels are higher by:
$\checkmark 7.7 \%$ using they/them/their personal pronouns (All: 83.7\% vs H/E: 90.1\%),
$\checkmark 5.5 \%$ having 'all-gender' or 'gender-neutral' toilets on our floor/area (All: $80.5 \%$ vs H/E: 85.0\%).

## Allyship

Higher Education respondents are more positive across most allyship measures by:
$\checkmark \quad 10.5 \%$ to knowing of active allies within their immediate area (All: $65.2 \%$ vs H/E: 72.0\%)
$\checkmark \quad 19.9 \%$ to knowing of material or training available that would show them how to be an active ally (All: $55.0 \%$ vs H/E: $65.9 \%$ ).
$\checkmark \quad 14.6 \%$ than all respondents to feel they are active allies (All: $43.2 \%$ vs H/E: 49.5\%),
$\checkmark \quad 25.0 \%$ less likely to say that they are "not an ally", do not support LGBTQ+ Inclusion (All: $3.6 \%$ vs H/E: 2.7\%).
Though 7.0\% fewer agree to knowing of active executive allies or sponsor/s within their organisation (All: 60.9\% vs H/E: 56.6\%)

## LGBTQ+ respondents

$38.5 \%$ more respondents within the Higher Education sector are LGBTQ+ (of diverse sexuality, diverse gender and/or trans experience)
They are:

- $117.1 \%$ more likely to advise a non-binary gender identity (All: $2.2 \%$ vs H/E: $4.7 \%$ )
- $13.0 \%$ less likely to identify as straight (All: $72.9 \%$ vs H/E: 63.4\%),
- $48.5 \%$ more likely to identify with an 'emerging' sexuality (Bi/Pan/Queer/Ace or a different term) (All: $13.1 \%$ vs H/E: 19.4\%),
- $119.9 \%$ more likely to use exclusively genderneutral (they/them) pronouns (All: 0.9\% vs H/E: 2.0\%),
- $83.3 \%$ more using rolling pronouns (All: 2.1\% vs H/E: $3.9 \%$ ).


## LGBTQ+ inclusivity within the Higher education Sector

There is little difference to respondents 'outness' about their sexuality, though 'openness' for diverse gender respondents is $3.7 \%$ more likely.

Higher Education respondents are:
$\times 8.0 \%$ more likely to spend time editing conversations or hiding who they are (All: $29.8 \%$ vs H/E: 32.2\%)
$\times \quad 5.1 \%$ less likely to feel that the level of executive endorsement of sexuality and/or gender diverse inclusion initiatives has been positive (All: $71.2 \%$ vs H/E: 67.5\%),
$\checkmark \quad 11.4 \%$ less likely to advise experiencing discrimination regarding their diverse sexuality or gender in their current workplace `(All: $18.7 \%$ vs H/E: $16.6 \%$ )

Those 'out' at work are less likely by:
$\times \quad 4.7 \%$ to feel there are visible out role models within the organisation (All: $68.3 \%$ vs H/E: 65.1\%).
x $5.1 \%$ to believe their sexuality would not have any impact on career progression (All: $79.4 \%$ vs H/E: 75.3\%).
x $6.2 \%$ to feel inclusion initiatives have had a positive impact on how they feel about their own sexuality (All: 71.5\% vs H/E: 67.1\%).

Those 'not out' are less likely by:
$\checkmark \quad 24.6 \%$ to avoid inclusion initiatives because they do not want people to know they are of diverse sexuality (All: 23.5\% vs H/E: 18.6\%).
$\checkmark \quad 21.1 \%$ to be concerned they would become the target of sexualised jokes/innuendo (All: $23.5 \%$ vs H/E: 18.6\%).
$\checkmark \quad 13.1 \%$ to feel they are not out because they are uncomfortable within themselves (All: $37.6 \%$ vs H/E: $32.7 \%$ ).
$\checkmark \quad 13.2 \%$ to fear being the target of discrimination due to their sexuality (All: $23.2 \%$ vs H/E: 20.2\%)
$\checkmark 8.0 \%$ to feel being out would negatively impact their career progression (All: 22.9\% vs H/E: 21.1\%)

Respondents of diverse sexuality advised being the target of workplace incivility behaviours 15.8\% less, and the target of serious bullying and harassment 46.0\% less.

For trans and gender diverse respondents, those in this sector are $16.2 \%$ more likely to have undertaken affirmation processes in their current workplace (All: $45.0 \%$ vs H/E: $52.3 \%$ ) but are $4.7 \%$ less likely to be happy with the process (All: 56.1\% vs H/E: 53.5\%).

Those 'open' at work are:
x $20.0 \%$ more likely to feel they have been deliberately misgendered in the past year (All: $22.1 \%$ vs H/E: 26.6\%),

* $15.8 \%$ less likely to agree people make an effort to use their personal pronouns (All: $63.1 \%$ vs H/E: 53.1\%)
$\times 8.8 \%$ less likely to feel comfortable and safe discussing workplace issues related to their gender diversity with their manager (All: $72.5 \%$ vs H/E: 66.2\%), \&

Though, $24.9 \%$ more likely to agree there are visible out role models within their organisation
(All: $45.6 \%$ vs H/E: 56.9\%).
For those 'not open' at work:
$\checkmark 4.9 \%$ less agree it is because they do not want to be labelled because of their diverse gender. (All: 65.7\% vs H/E: 62.5\%).
$\checkmark \quad 7.3 \%$ less agree the negative social media commentary and mainstream news media
reporting targeting LGBTQ people has impacted their willingness to be open (All: $58.4 \%$ vs H/E: 54.7\%).
$\checkmark 9.6 \%$ less fear being the target of discrimination due to their diverse gender. (All: $47.1 \%$ vs H/E: 56.9\%).
$\times 20.2 \%$ more respondents agree to avoiding inclusion initiatives because they do not want people to know they are of diverse gender (All: $33.6 \%$ vs H/E: 40.4\%).

Recruitment experiences of those of diverse gender and/or trans experience are more positive with:
$\checkmark \quad 21.6 \%$ more likely disclose their gender diversity during application process (All: $28.4 \%$ vs H/E: 34.5\%)
$\checkmark$ 20.1\% more likely to feel application forms were inclusive of diverse gender (All: $35.4 \%$ vs H/E: 42.5\%)
$\checkmark \quad 11.5 \%$ less likely to feel barriers with reference checks (All: $9.1 \%$ vs H/E: 8.0\%)
$\checkmark \quad 10.9 \%$ less likely with background and criminal checks (All: 9.9\% vs H/E: 8.9\%)

But 5.7\% more likely to agree that they feared being discriminated because of their gender diversity.

Higher Education respondents had more positive experiences relating to policies with:
$\checkmark \quad 31.0 \%$ more freedom to use toilets of choice (All: $42.5 \%$ vs H/E: $55.7 \%$ )
$\checkmark 28.8 \%$ more is availability of 'all-gender' or 'gender-neutral' toilets (All: $34.8 \%$ vs H/E: 44.8\%)
$\checkmark \quad 16.4 \%$ more support to dress in a manner that aligns with their gender identity/expression (All: 63.5\% vs H/E: 73.9\%)
$\checkmark \quad 10.3 \%$ more acknowledgement of gender diversity beyond the binary of male/female. (All: $66.0 \%$ vs H/E: 72.8\%)

