## INDUSTRY INCLUSION INSIGHTS • 2023 AWEI SURVEY

## Sector: Private Company

Industry: Professional, Scientific and Technical Services

## General data

| All data (All) |  |  | Industry (P,S\&T) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1 9 2}$ |  | Organisations | 38 | $19.8 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{4 0 , 3 3 1}$ | Respondents | 6077 | $15.1 \%$ |  |
| $\mathbf{1 0 , 0 8 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 . 0} \%$ | LGBTQ + respondents | 1389 | $22.9 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{9 , 9 7 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 . 7 \%}$ | \% respondents of Diverse sexuality | 1375 | $22.6 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{5 , 2 2 0}$ | $52.3 \%$ | \% Diverse Sexuality respondents 'out' | 691 | $50.3 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 , 1 1 9}$ | $2.8 \%$ | \% respondents of Diverse Gender | 120 | $2.0 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{4 6 4}$ | $\mathbf{4 1 . 5 \%}$ | \% Diverse Gender respondents 'open' | 46 | $38.3 \%$ |

## Location

Respondents in this industry span all states and territories. A greater proportion of responses were received from NSW (All: 33.7\% vs P,S\&T: 39.6\%), QLD (All: 17.1\% vs P,S\&T: 19.2\%) \& Vic (All: 23.4\% vs P,S\&T: 25.7\%)
150.4\% fewer respondents are from the ACT (All: $10.5 \%$ vs P,S\&T: 4.2\%).

Only 5.7\% of Professional, Scientific and Technical Services industry respondents work outside of Capital city (city centre or suburbs) locations. $74.1 \%$ are based in City centres (vs All: 51.6\%), with fewer in suburban Capital city locations (All: $33.2 \%$ vs P,S\&T: 20.2\%).

## Organisation position

Respondents are:

- $25.7 \%$ less likely to be in temporary/casual roles (All: $2.5 \%$ vs P,S\&T: 1.9\%).
- $\quad 52.6$ \% less likely to be in contract positions (All: 4.1\% vs P,S\&T: 2.0\%)

They are also.

- $13.2 \%$ more likely to have been employed for between 1-10 years. (All: 51.8 \% vs P,S\&T: 58.7\%).
- $34.3 \%$ less likely to have been employed between 10-20 years, (All: 19.5\% vs P,S\&T: 12.8\%), \&
- $50.2 \%$ less likely to have been employed over 20 years, (All: $9.5 \%$ vs P,S\&T: 4.7\%)

Respondents are $52.4 \%$ more likely to identify being in a position of CEO or equivalent, or their direct reports (All: $3.7 \%$ vs P,S\&T: 5.7\%), and $18.1 \%$ more likely to be in level 2 positions reporting to level one staff (All: 29.6\% vs P,S\&T: $35.0 \%$ ), and are $12.1 \%$ more likely to advise having one or more direct reports.

## Organisation inclusion

Respondents are:
$\checkmark 5.9 \%$ more likely to personally support the work their organisation does for LGBTQ+ inclusion, (All: 84.4\% vs P,S\&T: 89.4\%).
$\checkmark \quad 8.5 \%$ more likely to believe there are more than two genders (male/female) (All: 62.6\% vs P,S\&T: 37.9\%).

## Awareness and visibility

Within the last year:
$\checkmark \quad 7.1 \%$ more feel that work or related initiatives concerning this aspect of diversity \& inclusion have been regularly communicated (All: $80.3 \%$ vs P,S\&T: 86.0\%),
$\checkmark \quad 8.2 \%$ more respondents are aware of training being available (All: $68.0 \%$ vs P,S\&T: 73.5\%),
$\checkmark \quad 14.2 \%$ more respondents attended training (All: $47.4 \%$ vs P,S\&T: 54.5\%).

## Bullying and Harassment

Respondents in this industry are more likely by:
$\checkmark \quad 6.4 \%$ to feel workplace incivility (negative commentary/jokes/innuendo) targeting people of diverse sexuality and/or gender are acted upon quickly (All: 59.1\% vs P,S\&T: 62.9\%),
$\checkmark \quad 5.7 \%$ to feel managers are willing to address workplace incivility behaviours targeting people of diverse sexuality (All: 66.0\% vs P,S\&T: 69.7\%),
$\checkmark \quad 5.4 \%$ to feel managers address workplace incivility behaviours towards people of diverse genders (All respondents: 65.1\% vs P,S\&T: 68.6\%),
$\checkmark \quad 5.4 \%$ to agree there are identified avenues to safely report bullying and harassment related to sexuality and/or gender (All: 75.9\% vs P,S\&T: 80.0\%)

They also are:
$\checkmark 33.8 \%$ less likely to have witnessed workplace incivility (All: $14.8 \%$ vs P,S\&T: 9.8\%),
$\checkmark \quad 39.7 \%$ less likely to have witnessed more severe behaviours (All: 4.6\% vs P,S\&T: 2.8\%),
$\checkmark \quad 24.5 \%$ less likely to say they would not report workplace incivility (All: $5.3 \%$ vs P,S\&T: 4.0\%)

## Working with others

Respondents are:
$\times 7.0 \%$ more likely to be comfortable having all-gender or gender-neutral toilet facilities (All: $80.5 \%$ vs P,S\&T: 86.2\%)
$\times 5.3 \%$ more likely to be comfortable using gender neutral pronouns (All: $83.7 \%$ vs P,S\&T: 88.1\%)

## Health \& Wellbeing

Respondents are reporting more positively within health and wellbeing factors.
$\checkmark \quad 4.8 \%$ more respondents feel a sense of belonging at their organisation (All: 76.1\% vs P,S\&T: 79.7\%),
$\checkmark \quad 5.7 \%$ more feel they can be themselves at work (All: $82.1 \%$ vs P,S\&T: 86.7\%)

## Allyship

Professional, Scientific and Technical Services respondents are more positive across most allyship measures. Most significantly:
$\checkmark \quad 14.7 \%$ more agree that employees who wish to be allies are supported to do so (All: 70.6\% vs P,S\&T: 81.0\%)
$\checkmark \quad 11.4 \%$ more know of active allies within my immediate area (All: 65.2\% vs P,S\&T: 72.6\%)
$\checkmark \quad 12.4 \%$ more know of active executive allies and/or sponsors at their organisation (All: $60.9 \%$ vs P,S\&T: 68.5\%)
$\checkmark \quad 10.2 \%$ more know of material or training available that would show them how to be an active ally (All: $55.0 \%$ vs P,S\&T: 60.6\%)
1.9\% more respondents feel they are active allies (All: $43.2 \%$ vs P,S\&T: $44.1 \%$ ) and $34.2 \%$ fewer say they are "not an ally" (All: 3.6\% vs P,S\&T: 2.4\%).

## LGBTQ+ respondents

This industry has $8.6 \%$ fewer respondents who are LGBTQ+ (of diverse sexuality, diverse gender and/or trans experience).

They are:

- $26.1 \%$ less likely to identify with a non-binary gender identity (All: 2.2\% vs P,S\&T: 1.6\%)
- $4.2 \%$ more likely to identify as straight (All: $72.9 \%$ vs P,S\&T: 76.0\%),
- $8.9 \%$ less likely to identify with emerging sexual orientations (bi-pan sexual/ Queer/Asexual/different term) (All: 13.1\% vs P,S\&T: 11.9\%)
- $27.8 \%$ less likely to use non-gendered pronouns (gender-neutral, rolling, or other pronouns) (All: 3.7\% vs P,S\&T: 2.6\%).


## LGBTQ+ inclusivity within Professional, Scientific and Technical Services

Of the respondents who answered the question relating to being 'out' in the workplace ( $\mathrm{n} 1,159$ ), Professional, Scientific and Technical Services respondents are $2.2 \%$ less likely to be out in the workplace. For those of diverse gender those in who answered (n95), are $4.5 \%$ less likely to be 'open' in the workplace.

Professional, Scientific and Technical Services
LGBTQ+ respondents are:
$\checkmark \quad 37.0 \%$ less likely to agree they had experienced discrimination in the past in this workplace (All: $18.7 \%$ vs P,S\&T: 11.8\%)
$\checkmark \quad 12.3 \%$ less likely to spend time editing conversations or hiding who they are (All: 29.8\% vs P,S\&T: 26.2\%)
$\checkmark \quad 14.5 \%$ more likely to feel active allies have positively impacted their sense of inclusion (All: 63.0\% vs P,S\&T: 72.2\%),
$\checkmark \quad 9.2 \%$ more likely to feel that the level of executive endorsement of sexuality and/or gender diverse inclusion initiatives has been positive (All: 71.2\% vs P,S\&T: 77.8\%),
$\checkmark \quad 8.0 \%$ more likely to feel their organisations commitment to LGBTQ+ people has been positive (All: $80.5 \%$ vs P,S\&T: 87.0\%),

Those 'out' at work are:
$\checkmark \quad 18.2 \%$ more likely to feel inclusion initiatives have had a positive impact on how they feel about their own sexuality (All: $71.5 \%$ vs P,S\&T: 84.5\%)
$\checkmark \quad 15.3 \%$ more likely to agree that there are visible out role models similar to themselves (All: 68.3\% vs P,S\&T: 78.8\%)
$\checkmark \quad 14.4 \%$ more likely to feel their performance is positively impacted by being out (All: 61.8\% vs P,S\&T: 70.6\%)
$\checkmark \quad 6.1 \%$ more likely to agree they have not encountered any exclusion based on their sexuality (All: 83.2\% vs P,S\&T: 88.2\%)
$\checkmark \quad 7.3 \%$ more likely to believe their sexuality would not have any impact on career progression (All: 79.4\% vs P,S\&T: 85.2\%).

Those 'not out' are:

- $13.4 \%$ more likely to feel they are not out because they are uncomfortable within themselves (All: $37.6 \%$ vs P,S\&T: 42.6\%)
$\checkmark \quad 19.8 \%$ less likely to be concerned they would become the target of sexualised jokes/innuendo (All: 23.5\% vs P,S\&T: 18.9\%)
$\checkmark 6.2 \%$ less likely to feel the negative social media commentary and mainstream news media reporting targeting LGBTQ people has impacted their willingness to be out (All: 27.1\% vs P,S\&T: 25.4\%)

Respondents of diverse sexuality have agreed to being the target of workplace incivility behaviours
55.5\% less, and $47.3 \%$ less regarding serious bullying and harassment.

For trans and gender diverse respondents, those in this industry are $20.4 \%$ less likely to have affirmed their gender within this workplace, and $18.6 \%$ more likely to have not affirmed their gender in any workplace, (All: $42.6 \%$ vs P,S\&T: $50.5 \%$ ) but are $10.1 \%$ more likely to be happy with the process (All: $56.1 \%$ vs P,S\&T: 61.8\%).

Those 'open' at work are:
x $2.4 \%$ less likely to identify visible out role models (All: 45.6\% vs P,S\&T: 44.5\%)
x $3.8 \%$ less likely to feel supported by their team relating to their diverse gender (All: $71.6 \%$ vs P,S\&T: 68.9\%
x $4.5 \%$ less likely to feel their LGBTQ Employee network is including of diverse gender employees (All: 79.1\% vs P,S\&T: 75.6\%)
$\checkmark \quad 18.3$ more likely to feel positive performance impacts from being out (All: 63.9\% vs P,S\&T: 75.6\%)
$\checkmark \quad 21.0 \%$ more likely to have not experienced any gender-based exclusion (All: 66.1\% vs P,S\&T: 80.0\%)
$\checkmark \quad 49.8 \%$ less likely to feel they have been deliberately misgendered in the past year (All: $22.1 \%$ vs P,S\&T: 11.1\%),

For those 'not open' at work:
x $10.1 \%$ more agree it is because they do not want to be labelled because of their diverse gender. (All: $65.7 \%$ vs P,S\&T: 72.3\%).
$\times 9.3 \%$ more agree the negative social media commentary and mainstream news media reporting targeting LGBTQ people has impacted their willingness to be open (All: $58.4 \%$ vs P,S\&T: 63.8\%).
$\times 17.6 \%$ more fear being the target of discrimination due to their diverse gender (All: 47.1\% vs P,S\&T: 55.3\%).
$\checkmark \quad 30.4 \%$ less likely to agree to avoid inclusion initiatives because they don't want people to know they are of diverse gender (All: $49.1 \%$ vs P,S\&T: 23.4\%).

Recruitment experiences of those of diverse gender and/or trans experience are more positive with:
$\checkmark \quad 23.2 \%$ more agreed a contact person was identified to support diverse gender applicants (All: $12.9 \%$ vs P,S\&T: 15.8\%)
$\checkmark \quad 41.3 \%$ less feared discrimination because of their gender diversity (All: 39.7\% vs P,S\&T: 12.2\%)
$\checkmark \quad 31.9 \%$ less faced barriers with reference checks (All: $9.1 \%$ vs P,S\&T: 6.2\%)
$\checkmark \quad 7.6 \%$ less faced barriers with background and criminal checks (All: 9.9\% vs P,S\&T: 9.2\%)
$\checkmark \quad 66.4 \%$ less felt disadvantaged during the recruitment process (All: 9.1\% vs P,S\&T: 3.1\%)

Professional, Scientific and Technical Services respondents had more positive experiences relating to policies with:
$\checkmark \quad 7.2 \%$ more freedom to use toilets of choice (All: $42.5 \%$ vs P,S\&T: 45.5\%)
$\checkmark 16.6 \%$ more is availability of 'all-gender' or 'gender-neutral' toilets (All: $34.8 \%$ vs P,S\&T: 40.6\%)
$\checkmark \quad 9.4 \%$ more acknowledgement of gender diversity beyond the binary of male/female. (All: 66.0\% vs P,S\&T: 72.3\%)

Diverse Gender respondents have agreed to being the target of workplace incivility behaviours $45.0 \%$ less, and $28.2 \%$ less regarding serious bullying and harassment.

