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## INDUSTRY INCLUSION INSIGHTS • 2023 AWEI SURVEY

## Public Sector

The Public Sector as a group includes all federal, state and local government departments. These have been combined to ensure meaningful analysis and comparison to the whole Australia response data.

General data

| All data (All) |  |  | Public Sector (P/S) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 192 |  | Organisations | 50 | $26.0 \%$ |
| 40,331 |  | Respondents | 15,311 | $38.0 \%$ |
| 10,085 | $25.0 \%$ | LGBTQ+ respondents in the sector | 4,275 | $27.9 \%$ |
| 9,972 | $98.9 \%$ | \% respondents of Diverse sexuality | 4,227 | $27.6 \%$ |
| 5,220 | $52.3 \%$ | \% of Diverse Sexuality respondents 'Out' | 2,004 | $47.4 \%$ |
| 1,119 | $2.8 \%$ | \% respondents of Diverse Gender | 533 | $3.5 \%$ |
| 464 | $41.5 \%$ | \% of Diverse Gender respondents 'open' | 211 | $39.6 \%$ |

## Location

The Public Sector has a greater proportion of respondents from the ACT, due to the significant proportion of Canberra-based federal government organisations and employees participating in the survey; $25.0 \%$ of all Public Sector employees are located in the ACT, compared to $10.5 \%$ of all responses (+137.1\%). While the total Public Sector working in Capital city locations is similar to the total Australian respondents, state organisations have a greater proportion of respondents from regional, rural, and remote areas.

## Personal Beliefs

Public Sector respondents are less likely by:
$\times 6.5 \%$ to believe their organisation is committed to LGBTQ+ inclusion. (All: 85.2\% vs P/S: 79.7\%).
x $4.2 \%$ to support the work done by their organisation (All: 84.4\% vs P/S: 80.9\%).

## Awareness and visibility

x $6.7 \%$ fewer respondents agree that there has been communication of initiatives surrounding LGBTQ+ inclusion (All: $80.3 \%$ vs P/S: 75.0\%).
x $10.9 \%$ fewer aware of awareness or ally training being available in the year (All: 68.0\% vs P/S: 60.6\%)
x 17.3\% less attendance at training (All: 47.4\% vs P/S: 39.2\%).

## Bullying and Harassment

Public Sector respondents are:
x $10.8 \%$ less likely to feel their managers are willing to address Mild workplace incivility (negative commentary, jokes and/or innuendo) targeting people of diverse sexuality (All: $66.0 \%$ vs P/S: 58.8\%),
x $11.1 \%$ less likely to address mild behaviours towards people of diverse gender (All: 65.1\% vs P/S: 57.9\%).
$\times 8.0 \%$ less likely to agree there are identified avenues to safely report bullying and harassment related to sexuality and/or gender (All: 75.9\% vs P/S: 69.8\%).
x $\quad 10.7 \%$ more likely to advise witnessing workplace incivility \& $2.2 \%$ serious behaviours.
x $8.1 \%$ more likely to say that no one called out workplace incivility, and
x $21.6 \%$ more likely to advise serious behaviours were not called out by anyone.

## Allyship

Public Sector responses are lower across all allyship measures:
x $10.3 \%$ to knowing of active allies within my immediate area.
x $10.8 \%$ to knowing of material or training available that would show them how to be an active ally.
x $7.1 \%$ to knowing of active executive allies or sponsor/s within their organisation.
$\times \quad 11.7 \%$ that employees who wish to be allies are supported to do so.

Participants are:
x $6.3 \%$ less likely to be active allies themselves, and
x $22.7 \%$ more likely to be 'not an ally' and not support LGBTQ+ Inclusion

## LGBTQ+ respondents

$11.6 \%$ more respondents within the Public Sector overall are LGBTQ+ (diverse sexuality, diverse gender and/or trans experience). Federal government employees are 13.6\% more likely and State Governments respondents are $6.8 \%$ more likely to be LGBTQ+ (All Responses: 25.0\%, Public sector: $27.9 \%$, Federal: $28.4 \%$, State: $26.7 \%$ ).

Public Sector respondents are also $26.8 \%$ more likely to identify with an 'emerging' sexuality (Bi/Pan/Queer/Ace or a different term) (All: 13.1\% vs P/S: 16.6\%),

## LGBTQ+ inclusivity within the Public Sector

Public sector Employees are 14.9\% less likely to be 'out' regarding their diverse sexuality, and $4.6 \%$ less likely to be 'open' regarding their diverse gender.

Public Sector employees are also advising that they are:
x $11.5 \%$ more likely to spend time editing conversations or hiding who they are,
$\times \quad 7.0 \%$ more likely to advising experiencing discrimination regarding their diverse sexuality or gender in their current workplace,
$\times \quad 9.8 \%$ less likely to feel that the level of executive endorsement of sexuality and/or gender diverse inclusion initiatives has been positive, \&
x $12.0 \%$ less likely to feel that active allies have had a positive impact on their sense of inclusion.

Respondents of diverse sexuality are $5.0 \%$ less likely to recommend their organisation to others of similar diversity to themselves; those of diverse gender are $10.3 \%$ less likely.

Of all the reasons for not being out, the greatest difference is 'negative social media commentary and mainstream news media reporting targeting LGBTQ+ people' (9.5\% higher for Public Sector).

Recruitment experiences of those of diverse gender and/or trans experience are less positive than all response population. The most significant differences are:
x $36.6 \%$ less likely to advise that contact person was identified to support diverse gender applicants.
x $17.8 \%$ less likely to feel there was visibility of inclusion for gender diverse people,

* $13.1 \%$ less likely to feel application forms were inclusive of diverse ender applicants \&
x $7.3 \%$ more likely to agree that they felt disadvantaged during the recruitment process.

On the upside, $16.8 \%$ fewer respondents advised facing barriers with reference checks (All: $9.1 \%$ vs P/S: 7.6\%)

Across the board, the Public Sector respondents had poorer experiences related to policies supporting employees of diverse gender and/or trans experience:
$\times 17.4 \%$ less freedom to use toilets of choice.
x $17.6 \%$ less availability of 'all-gender' or 'gender-neutral' toilets.
x $15.7 \%$ less well communicated policies to support those affirming their gender.
x $9.1 \%$ less acknowledgement of gender diversity beyond the binary of male/female.
$\times 5.4 \%$ less support to dress in a manner that aligns with their gender identity/expression.
x Public Sector employees of diverse sexuality are $15.0 \%$ less likely to agree that there are visible out role models within their organisation, and employees of diverse gender are $27.2 \%$ less likely.

